



# An alternative approach to the decomposition of functions

Alexey Ostrovsky

Helmut-Käutner Str. 25, 81739 Munich, Germany

## ARTICLE INFO

Dedicated to the Centennial of the Egorov–Luzin Theorem

### MSC:

primary 26A21, 03E15, 28A05, 54C10  
secondary 26A15, 54C08

### Keywords:

Borel-measurable  
Open-Borel  
Countably continuous  
Borel class  
Open function  
Closed function

## ABSTRACT

Let a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with compact fibers takes any open set into a union of an open and a closed set. Then there exist subsets  $T_n \subset X$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that every restriction  $f|_{T_n}$  is open or closed function onto  $Y_n$  and  $Y_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) cover  $Y$ .

This result is related to the problem of preservation of Borel classes by open-Borel functions and to Luzin's question about decomposition of Borel-measurable functions into countably many continuous ones.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

During his research, the author has repeatedly encountered situations where function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between arbitrary subspaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of the Cantor set  $\mathbf{C}$  possess the following two properties at the same time:

- (1)  $f$  has compact fibers and takes clopen sets  $U \subset X$  to  $F_\sigma$  and  $G_\delta$ -sets  $B \subset Y$ ;
- (2) there exist  $T_n \subset X$  such that every restriction  $f|_{T_n}$  is a closed or an open function onto  $Y_n = f(T_n)$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ .

This brought up a hypothesis that (1) often implies (2). It seems natural to verify this hypothesis for the case of sets  $B = F \cup O$ , where  $O$  is an open and  $F$  is a closed set. We will point out in Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 affirmative answers.

This can be applied to the problem of defining a natural common class  $\mathfrak{A}$  for open and closed functions, such that every function  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  with compact fibers preserves Borel class in  $\mathbf{C}$  [6, Problem 3.6]. Corollary 4.2 gives us a natural answer.

Our approach gives more. We can apply it to investigate the question of Luzin whether every Borel-measurable function with arbitrary domain has a countable decomposition into continuous ones [3,2].

This question was answered negatively by P.S. Novikov (see [3]) and was subsequently generalized in [1,3,4,11].

Corollary 5.1 gives a positive answer to Luzin's question for  $\mathbf{G} \cup \mathbf{F}$ -measurable functions.

## 2. Related materials and basic definitions

A clopen set is a set that is both open and closed. As usual,  $\mathbf{C}$  denotes the Cantor set.

Given an arbitrary (not necessarily continuous) function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we say that a function  $f$  is

E-mail address: Alexey.Ostrovskiy@UniBw.de.

- *Borel-measurable* if the preimage  $f^{-1}(W)$  of every open set  $W \subset Y$  is a Borel set<sup>1</sup>;
- *open-Borel* if the image  $f(U)$  of every open set  $U \subset X$  is a Borel set.

In particular,  $f$  is

- **G ∪ F-measurable** if the preimage  $f^{-1}(W)$  of every open set  $W \subset Y$  is the union of an open set and a closed set;
- *open (closed)* if the image of every open (closed) set is open (closed) set;
- *open (closed)-G ∪ F* if the image  $f(W)$  of every open (closed) set  $W \subset X$  is the union of an open set and a closed set;
- *half-open* if the image  $f(W)$  of every clopen set  $W \subset X$  is the union of an open and a closed set.

We will denote by  $S_1(y)$  a sequence with its limit point ( $y_i \neq y_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ):

$$S_1(y) = \{y\} \cup \{y_i : y_i \rightarrow y\}$$

It is easy to check that a function  $f$  is closed iff it is closed at each point  $y \in Y$ ; i.e., for every  $S_1(y)$ , every sequence  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_i)$  ( $y_i \neq y_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ) has a limit point in  $f^{-1}(y)$ .

Indeed, suppose, contrary to our claim, that  $f$  is closed and, for some  $S_1(y)$ , there is no limit point in  $f^{-1}(y)$  for some  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_i)$ . Now we have two possibilities:

- 1) there is a limit point  $x \in X \setminus f^{-1}(y)$  for  $x_i$ ;
- 2) there is no limit points in  $X$  for  $x_i$ .

In case 1) the image  $f(T)$  of the closed set  $T = \{x\} \cup cl_X\{x_i\}$  is not closed in  $Y$ . In case 2) the image  $f(T)$  of the closed set  $T = cl_X\{x_i\}$  is not closed in  $Y$ . This contradicts our assumption.

Conversely, if, for every  $S_1(y)$ , some sequence of points  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_i)$  has a limit point in  $f^{-1}(y)$  and there is a closed  $T \subset X$  for which  $f(T)$  is not closed in  $Y$ , then there is  $S_1(y)$  such that  $y \notin f(T)$  and  $y_i \in f(T)$ . Hence, the sequence of points  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_i) \cap T$  has no limit point in  $f^{-1}(y)$ .

Analogously, it is easy to check that a function  $f$  is open iff it is open at each point  $x \in X$ ; i.e., for every  $S_1(y)$  where  $y = f(x)$  and for every neighborhood  $O(x)$  of  $x$ , the intersection  $f^{-1}(y_i) \cap O(x) = \emptyset$  for only finitely many points  $y_i$ .

### 3. Half-open functions in the Cantor set C

The following theorem is, in a way, a generalization of Lemma 1 from [8].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a half-open function with compact fibers,  $X, Y \subset \mathbf{C}$ . Then there exist  $T_n \subset X$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that every restriction  $f|_{T_n}$  is a closed function onto a subset  $f(T_n) = Y_n$  that is closed in  $Y$ , and the restriction  $f|_{f^{-1}(Y_0)}$ , where  $Y_0 = Y \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ , is an open function onto  $Y_0$ .*

**Proof.** Theorem 3.1 is an obvious corollary to the following Theorem 3.2. Indeed, by Theorem 3.2,  $f$  is an open function at every point of the set  $D_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ . Hence, if  $f^{-1}(L) \subset D_0$  for some  $L \subset Y$ , then the restriction  $f|_{f^{-1}(L)}$  is an open function onto  $L$ . It follows from definitions of  $Y_0$  and  $D_0$  that  $f^{-1}(Y_0) \subset D_0$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Under conditions of Theorem 3.1, there exist  $T_n \subset X$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that every restriction  $f|_{T_n}$  is a closed function onto a subset  $f(T_n) = Y_n$  that is closed in  $Y$  and  $f$  is an open function at every point<sup>2</sup> of the set  $D_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ .*

**Proof.** We start with the following notion:

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function,  $Z \subset X$ . We say that  $f$  is  $cl_Y f(Z)$ -closed extendable if, for every sequence  $y_i \rightarrow y$ , where  $y_i \in f(Z)$  and  $y \in cl_Y f(Z)$ , every sequence  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_i) \cap Z$  has a limit point  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $cl_Y f(Z)$ -closed extendable function of metric spaces  $X, Y$ . We define a set  $T_y$  for every  $y \in cl_Y f(Z)$  by setting*

$$T_y = \{x \in f^{-1}(y) : \exists y_i \in f(Z) \text{ for which } y_i \rightarrow y \text{ and } \exists x_i \in Z \cap f^{-1}(y_i) \text{ for which } x_i \rightarrow x\}.$$

Then  $g = f|_{\bigcup_{y \in Y} T_y}$  is a closed function onto  $cl_Y f(Z)$ .

**Remark.** Definition 3.1 does not involve the condition  $y_i \neq y_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ; hence, every  $T_y$  is nonempty for all  $y \in f(Z)$  and  $g$  in Lemma 3.1 is “onto”.

<sup>1</sup> Borel measurable functions are often called Borel mappings.

<sup>2</sup> A nuance:  $f|_{D_0}$  is not necessarily an open function.

**Proof of Lemma 3.1.** Suppose the opposite:  $g$  is not closed, and hence there is an  $S_1(y) \subset cl_Y f(Z)$  such that, for some  $x_i \in g^{-1}(y_i)$ , there is no limit point in  $g^{-1}(y)$ .

By the definition of  $T_{y_i}$ , for every  $x_i \in g^{-1}(y_i)$  there exist  $y_{ij} \in f(Z)$  such that  $y_{ij} \rightarrow y_i$  and there exist  $x_{ij} \in Z \cap f^{-1}(y_{ij})$  such that  $x_{ij} \rightarrow x_i$ .

It is clear, that  $\{y_{ij}\}$  contains a subsequence  $y_{i_{j(i)}} \rightarrow y$ . Moreover, we can choose  $j(i)$  such that for the corresponding points  $x_{i_{j(i)}} \in Z \cap f^{-1}(y_{i_{j(i)}})$  the following condition holds:

$$\text{dist}(x_{i_{j(i)}}, x_i) < 1/i. \quad (\#)$$

Since  $y_{i_{j(i)}} \in f(Z)$ ,  $y_{i_{j(i)}} \rightarrow y$ , and  $f$  is  $cl_Y f(Z)$ -closed extendable, by Definition 3.1 the sequence  $x_{i_{j(i)}}$  has a limit point  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ . By definition of  $T_y$  we have  $x \in T_y$ . According to (#),  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , and hence  $x \in g^{-1}(y)$ . Thus, we obtain a contradiction.  $\square$

In order to prove Theorem 3.2 we need the following notion and Lemma 3.2.

For every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , denote  $Z_n = \{x \in X: \exists S_1(f(x)) \subset Y \text{ such that for all } y_i \in S_1(f(x)), \text{dist}(x, f^{-1}(y_i)) > 1/n\}$ .

Hence,

$$f(Z_n) = \{y \in Y: \text{there exist } S_1(y) \text{ and } x \in f^{-1}(y) \text{ such that } \text{dist}(x, f^{-1}(y_i)) > 1/n\}. \quad (*)$$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $f$  is a  $cl_Y f(Z_n)$ -closed extendable function.

**Proof.** Indeed, suppose the contrary: there exist  $y_k \rightarrow y$ ,  $y_k \in f(Z_n)$ ,  $y \in cl_Y f(Z_n)$ , and  $x_k \in f^{-1}(y_k) \cap Z_n$  that have no limit points in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Since every  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact, we can suppose that  $y_k \neq y_j$  for  $k \neq j$ :

According to condition (\*) we can take  $S_1(y_k) = \{y_k\} \cup \{y_{k_i}: y_{k_i} \rightarrow y_k\}$  such that  $\text{dist}(x_k, f^{-1}(y_{k_i})) > 1/n$ .

Let  $O_{1/n}(x_k) = \{x \in X: \text{dist}(x_k, x) < 1/n\}$  be the  $1/n$ -ball centered at  $x_k$ .

Since  $X$  is a subspace of the Cantor set  $\mathbf{C}$ , the points  $x_k$  have a limit point  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ .

It is clear that there is a number  $N$  such that, for every  $k > N$ , we have:

(i)  $O_{1/2n}(z) \subset O_{1/n}(x_k)$ .

By the above  $z \notin f^{-1}(y)$  and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact, hence:

(ii) there is a clopen in  $X$  neighborhood  $O(z) \subset O_{1/2n}(z)$  of the point  $z$ , that does not intersect  $f^{-1}(y)$  and hence  $y \notin f(O(z))$ .

By assumption,  $f$  is half-open,  $f(O(z)) = F \cup O$ , where  $F$  is a closed and  $O$  is an open subset in  $Y$ .

Since  $z$  is limit point for  $x_k$  and  $f(x_k) = y_k$  we conclude that  $\{y_k\} \subset f(O(z))$  and since  $y_k \rightarrow y \notin f(O(z))$ , the closed set  $F$  contains at most finitely many points  $y_k$ , and we can suppose that  $\{y_k\} \subset O \setminus F$ .

The items (i) and (ii) shows that for every  $k > N$

$$f(O(z)) \subset f(O_{1/n}(x_k)),$$

and hence

$$f(O(z)) \subset \bigcap_{k>N} f(O_{1/n}(x_k)).$$

By the above,  $\text{dist}(x_k, f^{-1}(y_{k_i})) > 1/n$ , hence,  $y_{k_i} \notin f(O(z)) = F \cup O$ . This contradicts the condition  $y_{k_i} \rightarrow y_k$ : the open set  $O \setminus F$  contains  $y_k$  but not  $y_{k_i}$ .  $\square$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.2. By lemma above  $f$  is a  $cl_Y f(Z_n)$ -closed extendable function, hence we conclude from the Lemma 3.1 for  $Z = Z_n$ ,  $T_n = \bigcup_{y \in Y} T_y$  and  $Y_n = cl_Y f(Z_n)$  that  $f|T_n$  is a closed function onto a subset  $Y_n$  that is closed in  $Y$  and finally that  $f$  is an open function at every point of the set  $D_0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Open- $G \cup F$ functions in separable metric spaces

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be separable metric spaces; hence, there exists a metric compact space  $K \supset X, Y$ .

First, one can observe that the proof of Lemma 3.1 remains valid if we replace  $\mathbf{C}$  by  $K$  and "half-open" by "open- $G \cup F$ " and the following result can be proved in the same way as Theorem 3.1 if we consider a metric compact  $K$  instead of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an open- $G \cup F$  function with compact fibers of separable metric spaces  $X, Y$ . Then there exist subsets  $T_n \subset X$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that every restriction  $f|T_n$  is a closed function onto a subset  $f(T_n) = Y_n$  that is closed in  $Y$ , and  $f|f^{-1}(Y_0)$ , where  $Y_0 = Y \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$  is an open function onto  $Y_0$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function with compact fibers of separable metric spaces  $X, Y$ . Let  $Z \subset X$  be a subspace such that  $f(Z) = Y$  and the restriction  $f|Z$  be an open- $G \cup F$  function onto  $Y$ .

Then,

- (a) there exist closed  $T_n \subset X$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that every restriction  $f|_{T_n}$  is a closed function onto a closed subset  $Y_n \subset Y$ ;
- (b) there is a  $G_\delta$ -set  $T_0 \subset X$  such that the restriction  $f|_{T_0}$  is a closed function onto a  $G_\delta$ -set  $Y_0 = Y \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty Y_n$ .

**Proof.** Indeed, it is easily seen that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous function and there is a subset  $Z \subset X$  such that the restriction  $f|_Z$  is closed and  $f(Z) = Y$ , then  $Z$  is closed in  $X$ .

It is clear that  $Y_0$  in Theorem 4.1 is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $Y$ .

According to Novikov’s Lemma [5, Lemma 1], the set

$$L = \bigcup_{y \in Y} cl_X(f^{-1}(y) \cap Z)$$

is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$ .

It is easy to check that  $f|_L$  is a half-open function with compact fibers onto  $Y$ .

By [5, Theorem 2], there is a subset  $T_0$ , closed in  $f^{-1}(Y_0)$ , such that  $f|_{T_0}$  is a closed function onto  $Y_0$ .  $\square$

By analogy with to [7, Theorem 7], using the classical Taimanov–Saint Raymond theorem on the preservation of Borel classes by closed functions, we can easily deduce from Corollary 4.1 (for  $\alpha > 1$ ) the following:

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function with compact fibers between subspaces  $X, Y$  of the Cantor set  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $Z \subset X$ ,  $f(Z) = Y$ , and the restriction  $f|_Z$  be a half-open function onto  $Y$ . If  $X$  is a Borel set of additive or multiplicative class  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , then  $Y$  is a Borel set of the same class in  $\mathbf{C}$ .

For  $\alpha = 1$  this corollary follows from [8, Theorem 1].

### 5. $G \cup F$ -measurable functions in separable metric spaces and the Cantor set $\mathbf{C}$

By Egorov’s theorem and Luzin’s theorem in the classical measure theory, for every Lebesgue measurable function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , there exist  $X_n$  such that  $X = \bigcup_n X_n$ ,  $\mu(A_0) = 0$ , and each  $f|_{X_n}$  is continuous.

In this connection, Luzin posed the question of whether, for arbitrary  $X, Y \subset \mathbf{R}$  and  $B$ -measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there exist  $X_n$  such that  $X = \bigcup_n X_n$  and each  $f|_{X_n}$  is continuous.

The following theorem is an analogue of Theorem 3.1. Its proof is somewhat simpler than the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $G \cup F$ -measurable function of separable metric space  $X, Y$ . Then  $X$  can be covered by countably many subsets  $T_n \subset X$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , such that every restriction  $f|_{T_n}$  is continuous.<sup>3</sup>

**Proof.** We will suppose without loss of generality that  $Y$  is a subset of the Cantor set  $\mathbf{C}$ .<sup>4</sup>

For every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , denote

$$X_n = \{x \in X : \text{there are } x_i \in X \text{ such that } x_i \rightarrow x \text{ and } \text{dist}(f(x), f(x_i)) > 1/n\}. \tag{**}$$

In order to prove Theorem 5.1 we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.1.** Every restriction  $f|_{X_n}$  is a continuous function ( $n > 0$ ).

**Proof.** Suppose the contrary; then there exist  $x$  and  $x_j \rightarrow x$ , where  $x, x_j \in X_n$ , such that  $f(x_j) \not\rightarrow f(x)$ .

Let  $y^* \in \mathbf{C}$  be an accumulation point for the points  $f(x_j)$ ; then we can suppose that  $f(x_j) \rightarrow y^* \in \mathbf{C}$  and, for some  $d > 0$ , we have  $\text{dist}(y^*, f(x)) > d$ .

Take a clopen (in  $\mathbf{C}$ ) neighborhood  $O_\delta(y^*)$  of  $y^*$ , where  $\delta = \min\{d/2, 1/2n\}$ . Then, for a subset  $D = O_\delta(y^*) \cap Y$  that is clopen in  $Y$ , we can suppose that  $f(x_j) \in D$  and  $f(x) \notin D$ .

Since  $D$  is clopen in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(D)$  is a union of a closed set  $F$  and an open set  $O$ .

According to (\*\*), every  $x_j$  is a limit point for some sequence  $\{x_{j_i}\}$  such that  $\text{dist}(f(x_{j_i}), f(x_j)) > 1/n$ ; hence,  $f(x_{j_i}) \notin D$ .

Since  $x_j \in f^{-1}(D)$  and  $x_j$  is a limit point for  $x_{j_i} \notin f^{-1}(D)$ , we have  $x_j \notin O$ . Hence  $\{x_j\}$  is a subset of the closed set  $F \setminus O$ . This contradicts the fact that  $x_j \rightarrow x$ ; hence,  $x \in F$  and  $f(x) \notin f(D) \supset f(F)$ .  $\square$

<sup>3</sup> It is easy to see that we can assume  $T_n$  to be disjoint, taking  $X_n = T_n \setminus \bigcup_{k < n} T_k$ .

<sup>4</sup> If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Borel subsets of  $\mathbf{C}$ , our assertion follows from [1]. But the proof of Theorem 5.1 gives a little more: we can only suppose that preimages of elements of some clopen base of  $Y$  are union of open and closed sets.

I do not know whether the hypothesis at the beginning that (1) implies (2) is still true for Borel (or analytic)  $X, Y \subset \mathbf{C}$  even if  $f$  is continuous.

The task is now to prove Theorem 5.1 for  $n = 0$ . According to (\*\*), for every  $x \in X \setminus \bigcup_n T_n$ , where  $T_n = X_n$ , the condition  $x_i \rightarrow x$  implies  $\text{dist}(f(x), f(x_i)) = 0$ , and hence  $f$  is continuous at every point of  $T_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_n X_n$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

Note, that an analysis similar to that applied in the proof of Theorem 3.1 shows that  $X_n$  can be supposed to be closed subsets of  $X$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $X_0$  can be supposed to be a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$ .

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\mathbf{G} \cup \mathbf{F}$ -measurable function of the separable metric spaces  $X, Y$ . Then  $X$  can be divided into countably many subsets  $H_n$  such that  $f$  is continuous on each of these sets.*

**Proof.** Indeed, let us define  $H_1 = T_1$  and  $H_n = T_{n+1} \setminus T_n$ ,  $n > 0$ . Obviously,  $f$  is continuous on  $H_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and on  $H_0 = X \setminus \bigcup_k X_k$ . It is clear that  $H_i \cap H_k = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq k$  and  $\bigcup_{i=0}^\infty H_i = X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a one-to-one function of the separable metric spaces  $X, Y$  such that  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $\mathbf{G} \cup \mathbf{F}$ -measurable. Then  $X$  is a countable homeomorphism; i.e.,  $X$  can be divided into countably many sets  $X_n$  such that all restrictions  $f|X_n$  are homeomorphisms.*

**Proof.** Indeed, let us take the sets  $H_n^X \subset X$  and  $H_k^Y \subset Y$  such that each of  $f|H_n^X$  and  $f^{-1}|H_k^Y$  is a one-to-one continuous function.

Then every restriction  $f|(X_n)$ , where  $X_n = H_n^X \cap f^{-1}(H_k^Y)$ , is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

## 6. Closed- $\mathbf{G} \cup \mathbf{F}$ functions in metric spaces

Note that, while proving Theorem 3.1, we have also proved the following Theorem 6.1 for arbitrary metric spaces; moreover its proof is simpler than that of Theorem 3.1: we do not need to consider a limit point  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  for the points  $x_k$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed- $\mathbf{G} \cup \mathbf{F}$  function of the metric spaces  $X, Y$ .<sup>5</sup> Then there are subsets  $T_n \subset X$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that every restriction  $f|T_n$  is a closed function onto a subset  $Y_n$ , that is closed in  $Y$ , and  $f|f^{-1}(Y_0)$ , where  $Y_0 = Y \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty Y_n$ , is an open function onto  $Y_0$ .*

For more details (about open- $\mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{F}$  functions and  $\mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{F}$ -measurable functions) we refer the reader to [9] and [10].

## References

- [1] J.E. Jayne, C.A. Rogers, First level Borel functions and isomorphisms, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 61 (1982) 177–205.
- [2] R.O. Davies, C. Tricot, A theorem about countable decomposability, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* (03) 91 (1982) 457–458.
- [3] Ludmila Keldysh, Sur les fonctions premières mesurables  $B$ , *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 4 (1934) 192–197.
- [4] J. van Mill, R. Pol, Baire 1 functions which are not countable unions of continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 66 (1995) 289–300.
- [5] A. Ostrovsky, Triquotient and inductively perfect maps, *Topology Appl.* 23 (1986) 25–28.
- [6] A. Ostrovsky, Maps of Borel sets, *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* 252 (2006) 225–247.
- [7] A. Ostrovsky, Finite-to-one continuous  $s$ -covering mappings, *Fund. Math.* 194 (2007) 89–93.
- [8] A. Ostrovsky, New basic result in classical descriptive set theory: Preservation of completeness, *Topology Appl.* 156 (2009) 1749–1751.
- [9] A. Ostrovsky, The structure of  $LC$ -continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 133 (4) (2011) 372–375, doi:10.1007/s10474-011-0102-6.
- [10] A. Ostrovsky, Preservation of the Borel class under open- $LC$  functions, *Fund. Math.* 213 (2011) 191–195, doi:10.4064/fm213-2-4.
- [11] V.A. Vinokurov, Strong regularizability of discontinuous functions, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 281 (2) (1985) 265–269 (in Russian).

<sup>5</sup> Note that we did not really have to use in Theorems 3.1 and 6.1 the metrizable of  $Y$ : it is sufficient to suppose that  $Y$  is a regular space with the first axiom of countability.