

Exploring Vulnerabilities in Remote VR User Studies

VIKTORIJA PANEVA and FLORIAN ALT, University of the Bundeswehr Munich, Germany

This position paper explores the possibilities and challenges of using Virtual Reality (VR) in remote user studies. Highlighting the immersive nature of VR, the paper identifies key vulnerabilities, including varying technical proficiency, privacy concerns, ethical considerations, and data security risks. To address these issues, proposed mitigation strategies encompass comprehensive onboarding, prioritized informed consent, implementing privacy-by-design principles, and adherence to ethical guidelines. Secure data handling, including encryption and disposal protocols, is advocated. In conclusion, while remote VR studies present unique opportunities, carefully considering and implementing mitigation strategies is essential to uphold reliability, ethical integrity, and security, ensuring responsible and effective use of VR in user research. Ongoing efforts are crucial for adapting to the evolving landscape of VR technology in user studies.

CCS Concepts: • **Security and privacy** → **Human and societal aspects of security and privacy**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: User Studies, Virtual Reality, Usable Security, Remote Studies

ACM Reference Format:

Viktorija Paneva and Florian Alt. 2024. Exploring Vulnerabilities in Remote VR User Studies. In *Proceedings of Workshop on Shaping The Future: Developing Principles for Policy Recommendations for Responsible Innovation in Virtual Worlds (CHI EA '24)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Virtual Reality (VR) has been identified as a powerful tool for user studies due to its ability of delivering immersive experiences that can replicate real-world scenarios. The flexibility of VR extends to simulating and effortlessly switching between various interfaces and interactions [4]. Furthermore, it allows for the development of digital twins of physical systems, representing a significant stride in user study methodologies in HCI, especially in the context of evaluating physical user interfaces. Recent user studies have begun exploring the opportunities of evaluating user interfaces using VR simulations. Examples include assessing the feasibility of evaluating physical user interfaces in VR by incorporating a built-in simulation module of the physical dynamics [7], virtual field studies on public displays [5] and an exploration of shoulder surfing behavior [1].

Another advantage of remote VR studies is the possibility of engaging a more diverse and representative participant pool across different geographical regions. This inclusivity encompasses individuals working from home, those involved in childcare, or those facing mobility challenges – demographics frequently overlooked in conventional studies. Conducting studies in participants' homes not only enhances comfort but also simplifies logistical considerations. A comprehensive exploration of opportunities and challenges related to remote user studies in VR is detailed in [8].

However, the shift towards immersive technologies packed with sensors introduces a set of vulnerabilities that necessitate careful consideration [2, 6, 9]. This position paper explores some of the most prevalent challenges inherent to remote user studies in VR and proposes strategic approaches to mitigate these vulnerabilities.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

CHI EA '24, May 11–16, 2024, Honolulu, HI, USA

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM

<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

2 KEY VULNERABILITIES

We consider the following vulnerabilities to require attention when running remote VR studies.

Technical Challenges While the shift towards remote participation removes geographical barriers, it introduces a new concern – a participant pool with varying levels of technical literacy and experience. This diversity may encompass individuals with limited familiarity with technology, requiring thoughtful consideration and planning to ensure a fair and inclusive study that adheres to all data privacy and security standards.

Privacy Concerns The immersive nature and extensive tracking involved in VR raise the risk of unintentional exposure of personal information. Data collected from motion sensors, eye-tracking devices, and physiological monitoring could reveal details regarding health conditions, disabilities, and the user's emotional or mental well-being. Furthermore, tracking extends to the user's surroundings, including people and objects in the vicinity.

Ethical Considerations In remote studies, the absence of direct supervision could pose a challenge to upholding the ethical guidelines (i.e., ensuring the physical safety of participants). This gap may allow participants to potentially engage in harmful activities, with researchers facing difficulties in promptly intervening.

Data Security The transmission and remote storage of user data introduce significant concerns regarding data security, such as interception risk and unauthorized access to potentially sensitive information. This includes participant interactions and biometric data, which, if compromised, could be exploited for activities like avatar impersonation and virtual identity theft.

3 MITIGATION STRATEGIES

The aforementioned key vulnerabilities could be addressed through the following strategies.

Technical Inclusivity Create user-friendly interfaces and a comprehensive onboarding process. Develop intuitive VR platforms with clear instructions to minimize the technical learning curve. Consider strategies like remote technical assistance during studies and integrating training modules to help participants acquire the necessary technical competencies quickly.

Privacy Safeguards Prioritise informed consent that clearly communicates the scope of data collection and intended use. Devise comprehensive and easily understandable consent forms outlining potential risks and mitigation measures. Use anonymization techniques to safeguard user identities, exercise responsible use of recording features, and, where applicable, aggregate data to reduce the risk of individual identification. Adopt privacy-by-design principles, incorporating privacy considerations from the initial stages of the experimental design and continuously assessing and improving privacy features throughout the iterative development process.

Ethical Guidelines Develop and enforce strict ethical guidelines tailored for remote user studies in VR. Researchers should conduct thorough briefings with participants, emphasizing responsible use of the technology and establishing mechanisms for immediate intervention in case of ethical concerns.

Secure Data Handling Employ secure communication channels for data transmission and storage, using encryption to safeguard sensitive information. Establish well-defined protocols for post-study data disposal, outlining secure deletion or anonymization procedures to mitigate the risk of unintended exposure or misuse. Regulatory compliance, adherence to data protection laws, and developing industry standards for VR privacy (e.g., a code of ethics for developers [3]) are also important steps to ensure a consistent and secure user environment.

4 CONCLUSION

While remote user studies in VR offer unique opportunities, they have inherent vulnerabilities that demand careful attention. By addressing technical challenges, privacy concerns, ethical considerations, and data security issues, researchers can enhance remote VR studies' reliability and ethical integrity. As the field continues to evolve, ongoing efforts to identify and mitigate vulnerabilities will be crucial in ensuring the responsible and effective use of VR technologies for user research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yasmeen Abdrabou, Sheikh Radiah Rivu, Tarek Ammar, Jonathan Liebers, Alia Saad, Carina Liebers, Uwe Gruenefeld, Pascal Knierim, Mohamed Khamis, Ville Makela, Stefan Schneegass, and Florian Alt. 2022. Understanding Shoulder Surfer Behavior and Attack Patterns Using Virtual Reality. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces (Frascati, Rome, Italy) (AVI 2022)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 15, 9 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3531073.3531106>
- [2] Melvin Abraham, Pejman Saeghe, Mark McGill, and Mohamed Khamis. 2022. Implications of XR on Privacy, Security and Behaviour: Insights from Experts. In *Nordic Human-Computer Interaction Conference (Aarhus, Denmark) (NordiCHI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 30, 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3546155.3546691>
- [3] Devon Adams, Alseny Bah, Catherine Barwulor, Nureli Musaby, Kadeem Pitkin, and Elissa M. Redmiles. 2018. Ethics Emerging: the Story of Privacy and Security Perceptions in Virtual Reality. In *Fourteenth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS 2018)*. USENIX Association, Baltimore, MD, 427–442. <https://www.usenix.org/conference/soups2018/presentation/adams>
- [4] Hans-Christian Jetter, Roman Rädle, Tiare Feuchtnr, Christoph Anthes, Judith Friedl, and Clemens Nylandsted Klokmose. 2020. "In VR, everything is possible!": Sketching and Simulating Spatially-Aware Interactive Spaces in Virtual Reality. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Honolulu, HI, USA) (CHI '20)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376652>
- [5] Ville Mäkelä, Rivu Radiah, Saleh Alsherif, Mohamed Khamis, Chong Xiao, Lisa Borchert, Albrecht Schmidt, and Florian Alt. 2020. Virtual Field Studies: Conducting Studies on Public Displays in Virtual Reality. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Honolulu, HI, USA) (CHI '20)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376796>
- [6] Vivek Nair, Gonzalo Munilla Garrido, Dawn Song, and James O'Brien. 2023. Exploring the privacy risks of adversarial VR game design. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies* (2023).
- [7] Viktorija Paneva, Myroslav Bachynskyi, and Jörg Müller. 2020. Levitation Simulator: Prototyping Ultrasonic Levitation Interfaces in Virtual Reality. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Honolulu, HI, USA) (CHI '20)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376409>
- [8] Rivu Radiah, Ville Mäkelä, Sarah Prange, Sarah Delgado Rodriguez, Robin Piening, Yumeng Zhou, Kay Köhle, Ken Pfeuffer, Yomna Abdelrahman, Matthias Hoppe, Albrecht Schmidt, and Florian Alt. 2021. Remote VR Studies: A Framework for Running Virtual Reality Studies Remotely Via Participant-Owned HMDs. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 28, 6, Article 46 (nov 2021), 36 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3472617>
- [9] James S Spiegel. 2018. The ethics of virtual reality technology: Social hazards and public policy recommendations. *Science and engineering ethics* 24, 5 (2018), 1537–1550.