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Figure 1: Approaches to out-of-the-lab research in usable security and privacy: Researchers can provide experiments in the form of apps or services – either as stand-alone apps (e.g. SmudgeSafe [18], left) or piggyback apps (e.g., the ResearchIME smartphone keyboard [4], middle) – or they can implement experiments in VR to enable participation from home [11], right).

ABSTRACT
The COVID pandemic made it challenging for usable security and privacy researchers around the globe to run experiments involving human subjects, specifically in cases where such experiments are conducted in controlled lab setting. Examples include but are not limited to (a) observing and collecting data on user behavior with the goal of (b) informing the design and (c) engineering novel concepts based on adaptation and personalization as well as (d) evaluating such concepts regarding user performance and robustness against different threat models. In this keynote I will set out with providing a brief introduction to and examples on our research on behavioral biometrics. I will then discuss how the current situation influences research requiring close work with human subjects in lab settings and outline approaches to address emerging issues. Finally, I will provide some examples of out-of-the-lab research and reflect on both challenges and opportunities of these approaches.

CCS CONCEPTS
• Security and privacy → Security services; • Human-centered computing → HCI design and evaluation methods.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Research in Usable Security and Privacy employs a wide range of research approaches – from observing and understanding user behavior in security-critical situations (for example, how they behave as they are exposed to a phishing email [12], how they chose secrets in knowledge-based authentication schemes [19, 22]) via designing and implementing novel security and privacy concepts (for example, a new authentication mechanism [3, 21], a training app to raise awareness for social engineering attacks [9, 20]) to evaluating such novel concepts (for example, how easily users can learn them [2], how well they protect against different user-centered attacks [1]). While much research in our community is conducted in the form of surveys and interviews, still a considerable part of our work is conducted in controlled lab settings, in particular when evaluating novel approaches and concepts with users.

The guiding example I will use in this keynote talk is research on behavioral biometrics, that is the use of behavioral patterns in human behavior to identify individuals. Much of the work in this area requires collecting data on user behavior (such as touch targeting [6] and typing on a smartphone [5] or also behavior while manipulating or interacting with objects in VR [13] with the objective of then training user models based on the collected data. Ultimately, these models can be used to identify users and use this information, for example, to build novel authentication mechanisms based on continuous authentication [7].

1Note, that many other use cases exist for behavioral biometrics, such as building adaptive user interfaces.
Such research has lately become challenging as a result of the COVID pandemic, which made it increasingly difficult to run experiments with human subjects in research labs. As a result, researchers were (and still are) forced to rethink their way of doing research, for example, obtaining data from other sources, thinking of ways to conduct research outside the lab, appropriating their research questions, or coming up with entirely new ways of doing research.

In this keynote talk I will provide an overview of alternative approaches to out-of-the-lab research (cf section 2). Afterwards I will provide examples from my own research and discuss challenges and opportunities researchers are facing as they apply these approaches to usable security and privacy research (cf. section 3).

2 OUT-OF-THE-LAB RESEARCH

The HCI community has early on recognized the need for rethinking common approaches to research. As a result, the past year witnessed several panel discussions⁶, courses at conferences⁷ [17], overviews of research approaches [16], and special issues⁸.

The following section summarizes some of the approaches

Using Existing Stuff Rather than collecting new data sets, researchers could use existing data sets. Such data sets are often made available by other researchers upon request. An example is a data set by Khamis et al. with images taken from the front-facing camera of a smartphone to better understand users’ behavior [10]. Similarly, researchers might discover new research questions they could answer based on their own data they had previously collected. Also, online forums or rating features (for example, for apps, products, services, hotels, etc.) may provide fertile ground for interesting research questions related to privacy and / or security.

Web and App Usage Prior research has already shown that technologies being available to many users (such as web browsers, smartphones, smart watches) can be used for research purposes. Several approaches exist: firstly, web services, such as Amazon MTurk [14] and Prolific, can be used for crowd-sourcing information. Secondly, standalone applications can be distributed through app stores for download by any user. An example is the SmudgeSafe app, an authentication app that Schneegass et al., made available through GooglePlay and that was downloaded by 1500 users [18]. Thirdly, research prototypes can be piggybacked with existing applications. For example, Buschek et al. built a custom keyboard for use on Android devices. In this way, they were able to collect keystroke dynamics as participants used different types of apps [4].

Users at Home Another approach is to think about how experiments can be conducted in users’ homes. Whereas communication with users (for example, interviews) can be easily conducted using video conferencing tools, more sophisticated setups could entail the use of cameras and screen casts as participants solve web-based tasks on their PC. For example, Fröhlich et al., investigated user behavior while trying to purchase cryptocurrency [8]. Another approach is to supply study equipment to participants at home, for example, sending them an eye tracker to try out a new gaze-based authentication mechanism.

New Approaches Researchers can come up with entirely new approaches. For example, researchers might employ analytic or computational evaluation methods. Approaches such as GOMS or KLM (and its many variants) allow different systems to be compared (in particular, regarding the required number of basic operations). Another approach that recently received considerable attention is using VR as a complementary method to real-world research. Here, researchers can rebuild real-world settings and observe users’ behavior [15].

3 CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Out-of-the-lab research comes with a number of challenges.

Firstly, out-of-the-lab approaches are often characterized by less control over external influences, for example, participants might be interrupted, participate at different times of the day, etc. This influences the validity of the collected data. Specifically, the data is generally of lower internal validity but external and ecologic validity might be higher.

Second, an important criteria in research generally is replicability. To ensure replicability, researchers need to carefully think about how their study can be set up and all important aspects of the study be described in a way such that it is replicable.

Third, an opportunity of out-of-the-lab studies is investigating long-term effects. Whereas lab studies often just capture data at one specific moment in time, researchers could setup out-of-the-lab experiments to focus on how people behave, perform, or learn over a longer period of time. In usable security research this might be interesting when looking into the learnability of an approach or the memorability of secrets created with a novel scheme.

4 CONCLUSION

The ongoing pandemic provides challenges for usable security and privacy researchers. At the same time, rethinking research approaches provides an opportunity, as researchers might focus on aspects that were previously under-investigated or create new methods that might establish themselves as a new gold standard.

The presented list of approaches as well as the challenges and opportunities do not present but a selection of aspects in a research field that might receive more attention in the future. It is rather meant as a starting point towards more embracing approaches that take research out-of-the-lab into the real world.
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⁶Online Talkshow: How to do HCI research if your users are off limits? https://amp.uibcomp.net/users-off-limits/
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