Applications of Quantum Computing: From Material Simulation to Quantum Optimization and "Quantum Machine Learning"





Sabine Tornow, MUAS

Thanks to:

der Bundeswehr Universität

# **QUANTUM INFORMATION**



If information is represented by a quantum system then it is by definition quantum information

# FIRST AND SECOND QUANTUM REVOLUTION





Wikipedia: Chip ion trap for quantum computing from 2011 at NIST.

#### WHERE DOWE STAND?



IBM 701



# QUANTUM COMPUTERS ARE GOOD AT

- Quantum Physics/Quantum Chemistry
- Factoring
- Linear Algebra
- Searching
- Optimization
- Sampling
- Accelerating machine learning

Quantum computing is at the same time an enabler for incredible opportunities as well as one of the most unexpected threats to cybersecurity.



Quantum-computing pioneer warns of complacency over Internet security nature.com • Lesedauer: 5 Min.

"I think the only obstruction to replacing RSA with a secure post-quantum cryptosystem will be will-power and programming time. I think it's something we know how to do; it's just not clear that we'll do it in time."

# QUANTUM COMPUTERS ARE GOOD AT

- Quantum Physics/Quantum Chemistry
- Factoring
- Linear Algebra
- Searching
- Optimization
- Sampling
- Accelerating machine learning

Present quantum hardware enables development of quantum heuristics

# QUANTUM COMPUTING

- I. Quantum Computing
- 2. Applications:



- Material Simulation/Open Quantum Systems
- Optimisation
- Machine Learning
- 3. Error mitigation

# QUBIT





**SUPERPOSITION** 

$$|\psi\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle + a_1 |1\rangle,$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Sabine Tornow

9

 $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{C},$ 

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k|^2 = 1$$

#### SIMULATING A QUANTUM SYSTEM ON CLASSICAL COMPUTERS





#### SIMULATING A QUANTUM SYSTEM ON CLASSICAL COMPUTERS





# **Z-MEASUREMENT**

State prepared in:



 $|0\rangle$ 

Superposition



#### **Z-MEASUREMENT**

State prepared in:





#### ENTANGLEMENT

#### Most remarkable manifestation of quantum information is

Entanglement (Verschränkung)

$$|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{A} & |+\rangle \\ & \bullet \\ \mathsf{B} & |0\rangle \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \right) \\ \end{array} \right\}$$

This state of two qubits behaves in ways that cannot be explained by supposing that each qubit has a state of its own.

### ENTANGLEMENT

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \right)$$





# ENTANGLEMENT

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \right)$$





#### COMPARISON BETWEEN QUANTUM INFORMATION AND DISCRETE CLASSICAL PROBABILITY



Probability  $p_0$  ( $p_1$ ) that bit is 0 (1)



#### **CLASSICAL PROBABILITY THEORY**

 $(p_0)$  $p_1$ State: probability vector  $p_2$  $p_3$ 

$$\sum p_n = 1, \ 0 \le p_n \le 1, \ p_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

Time evolution:

$(s_0$	0 <i>s</i> <sub>01</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>02</sub>	$s_{03}$	$(p_0)$
<i>s</i> <sub>1</sub>	0 <i>s</i> <sub>11</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>12</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>13</sub>	$p_1$
<i>s</i> <sub>2</sub>	0 s <sub>21</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>22</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>23</sub>	$p_2$
$s_3$	0 s <sub>31</sub>	<i>s</i> <sub>32</sub>	s <sub>33</sub>	$(p_3)$

Stochastic matrix



#### CLASSICAL PROBABILITY THEORY (EXAMPLE)



 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 



After the first time step

After the sec

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad 0 \qquad \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

ond time step
$$1/2$$
00 $1/2$ 00 $0$  $1/2$  $1/2$ 

 $\left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right)$ 

1/2 1/2

3

0

0

# **QUANTUM INFORMATION**



State: vector of probability amplitudes

$$a_n \in \mathbb{C}$$
$$0 \le |a_n|^2 \le 1$$

 $(a_0)$ 

 $a_1$ 

 $a_2$ 

 $a_3$ 

$u_{00}$	$u_{01}$	$u_{02}$	$u_{03}$	$(a_0)$	
$u_{10}$	$u_{11}$	$u_{12}$	<i>u</i> <sub>13</sub>	$a_1$	
$u_{20}$	$u_{21}$	$u_{22}$	<i>u</i> <sub>23</sub>	$a_2$	
$u_{30}$	$u_{31}$	$u_{32}$	$u_{33}$	$\left(a_{3}\right)$	



# QUANTUM INFORMATION (EXAMPLE)



#### **QUANTUM INFORMATION (EXAMPLE)**





The system is again in state  $|0\rangle$ .

The system is never in state  $|3\rangle$  (interference).

Quantum computing is reversible.

# QUANTUM COMPUTING / QUANTUM GATES



#### QUANTUM COMPUTING / QUANTUM GATES



# QUANTUM COMPUTING / QUANTUM GATES



# QUANTUM COMPUTING PRINCIPLE

- I. Prepare the quantum computer in an initial state:  $|\psi\rangle = |00...0\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle ... \otimes |0\rangle$
- 2. Apply gates (multiplication with a unitary matrix)
- 3. Perform a measurement



$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

N qubits with  $2^N$  states at the same time

$$|\psi\rangle = a_0 |0\rangle + a_1 |1\rangle + a_2 |2\rangle + a_3 |3\rangle + a_4 |4\rangle + a_5 |5\rangle + a_6 |6\rangle + a_7 |7\rangle$$

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

N bits with  $2^N$  states, one at a time

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

A qubit does not need to have a definite value until it is measured

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

A bit always has a definite value

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

A qubit in an unknown state cannot be copied

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

A bit can be copied

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

Reading a qubit may change its state (if the qubit being read is entangled with another qubit, reading one of the qubits will affect the other)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

Reading one bit does not change its value and has no effect on any other

# QUANTUM COMPUTING

EM Quantum

- I. Quantum Computing
- 2. Applications:
  - Material Simulation/Open Quantum Systems
  - Optimization
  - Machine Learning

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

understanding would have many relevant applications, e.g., energy storage

exponential growth of variables, efficiently simulating quantum many-body systems is hard on a classical computer Dissipative two-electron transfer: A numerical renormalization group study, Sabine Tornow, Ralf Bulla, Frithjof B.Anders, and Abraham Nitzan Phys. Rev. B **78**, 035434

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$H_{sys} = -t_{12} \sigma_x + \epsilon \sigma_z \qquad \qquad \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$H_{sys-bath} = \sum_{k=1}^n g \ (\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_{x,k} + \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_{y,k}) \qquad \qquad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

### ELECTRON TRANSFER ON THE QUANTUM COMPUTER

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \hline R_x(2 t_{12} \Delta t) \hline R_z(2 \epsilon \Delta t) \\ \end{array}\right)^{\tau}$$

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

40

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

# QUANTUM COMPUTING

- I. Quantum Computing
- 2. Applications:

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Material Simulation/Open Quantum Systems
- Optimization
- Machine Learning
- 3. Error mitigation

2. Amplitude encoding 
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow |x\rangle = x_0 |0\rangle + x_1 |1\rangle$$

2. Amplitude encoding 
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow |x\rangle = x_0 |0\rangle + x_1 |1\rangle$$

3. Hamiltonian encoding: 
$$H = \begin{pmatrix} x_{00} & x_{01} \\ x_{10} & x_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $U = e^{-i H t}$ 

2. Amplitude encoding 
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow |x\rangle = x_0 |0\rangle + x_1 |1\rangle$$

3. Hamiltonian encoding: 
$$H = \begin{pmatrix} x_{00} & x_{01} \\ x_{10} & x_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $U = e^{-i H t}$ 

4. Hamiltonian encoding: 
$$H = \sum_{k} h_k \sigma_k - \sum_{\langle k,l \rangle} J_{kl} \sigma_k \otimes \sigma_l$$

![](_page_46_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **CLUSTERING/OPTIMIZATION**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

Sabine Tornow

# OPTIMIZATION ON A QUANTUM/CLASSICAL COMPUTER

#### The QC is an open quantum system itself

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **QUANTUM APPROXIMATE OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM**

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\mathcal{U}(\beta) = e^{-iH_0 \cdot \beta} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{N}(\gamma) = e^{-iH_0 \cdot \beta}$$

 $-iH_1\cdot\gamma$ 

For the optimal choice of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ,  $|\psi\rangle$  corresponds to the lowest energy of H.

![](_page_49_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Example:** Clustering

Best solution = [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1] cost = 5.4

![](_page_49_Figure_8.jpeg)

# QUANTUM COMPUTING

- I. Quantum Computing
- 2. Applications:

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Material Simulation/Open Quantum Systems
- Optimization
- Machine Learning
- 3. Error mitigation

#### MACHINE LEARNING ON THE QUANTUM COMPUTER

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### MACHINE LEARNING ON THE QUANTUM COMPUTER

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

# QUANTUM COMPUTING

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

- I. Quantum Computing
- 2. Applications:
  - Material Simulation/Open Quantum Systems
  - Optimisation
  - Machine Learning
- 3. Error mitigation

• Zero noise extrapolation

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

• Zero noise extrapolation

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Zero noise extrapolation

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$N \rightarrow 0$$

• Machine learning for error mitigation

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Machine learning for error mitigation

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_4.jpeg)

Predict

• Test symmetry

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Quantum error detection

![](_page_60_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Quantum error correction

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

# QUANTUM COMPUTING

• Emerging quantum hardware enables evaluation of (heuristic) quantum algorithms

 Quantum advantage for near-term devices (NISQ) will only be achieved by error mitigation

![](_page_62_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)

der Bundeswehr

ünchen

Sabine Tornow, MUAS

Thanks to: Universität

#### LITERATURE

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)